

Perihelion advances for the orbits of Mercury, Earth and Pluto from Extended Theory of General Relativity (ETGR).

¹ Luis Santiago Ridao, ¹ Rodrigo Avalos, ¹ Martín Daniel De Cicco and ^{1,2} Mauricio Bellini *

¹ *Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales,*

Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata,

Funes 3350, C.P. 7600, Mar del Plata, Argentina.

² *Instituto de Investigaciones Físicas de Mar del Plata (IFIMAR),*

Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina.

Abstract

We explore the geodesic movement on a effective 4D hypersurface which is embedded in a 5D Ricci-flat Manifold described by a canonical metric, in order to applying to planetary orbits in our solar system. Some important solutions are given, which provide the standard solutions of General Relativity without any extra force component. We study the perihelion advances of Mercury, the Earth and Pluto using the Extended Theory of General Relativity (ETGR). Our results are in very good agreement with observations and show how the foliation is determinant to the value of the perihelion's advances. The possible applications are not limited to these kinds of orbits.

* E-mail address: mbellini@mdp.edu.ar

I. INTRODUCTION, BASIC EQUATIONS AND MOTIVATION

The advance of the perihelion in the orbit of Mercury is a relativistic effect (Einstein 1915). Together with the observation of the deflection of light by Dyson, Eddington & Davidson (1919), this result converted in the final breakthrough of General Relativity. Mercury is the inner most of the four terrestrial planets in the Solar system, moving with high velocity in the gravitational field produced by the Sun. Due to this fact Mercury offers unique possibilities for testing General Relativity and exploring the limits of alternative theories of gravitation with an interesting accuracy (Kraniotis & Whitehouse 2001). A compact calculation of the perihelion precession of Mercury in General Relativity taking into account a nonzero Cosmological Constant Λ , was considered some years ago in (Kraniotis & Whitehouse 2003).

In the last years, extensions or modifications to the standard 4D theory of General Relativity, are topics that have originated a great and increasing impact in top original research in Gravitation and Cosmology. The spectrum of these proposals includes: theories with compact and non-compact extra dimensions (Bazeia, et al. 2014), scalar-tensor theories, gravity from non-Riemannian geometries, $f(R)$ (Baibosunov, Gurovich & Imanaliev 1990), $f(R, G)$ and $f(T)$ theories, amongst them (Talmadge et al. 1988).

In 2009 was introduced an extended version of General Relativity (Madriz Aguilar & Bellini 2009) from a 5D Ricci-flat space-time, where the extra space-like coordinate is non-compact. After make a static foliation on the extra coordinate, we obtain an effective 4D SdS space-time in which matter is considered with an equation of state $\omega = p_m/\rho_m = -1$ 4D vacuum state, such that the pressure on the effective 4D manifold is $P = -3c^4/(8\pi G\psi_0^2)$ and $\psi_0 = c/H_0$ is the Hubble radius. The resulting effective 4D metric is static, exterior and describes spherically symmetric matter (ordinary matter, dark matter and dark energy) on scales $r_0 < r_{Sch} < c/H_0$ for black holes or $r_{Sch} < r < c/H_0$ for ordinary stars with radius r_0 . The radius r_{ga} is very important because delimitates distances for which dark energy and ordinary matter (dark matter and ordinary matter) are dominant: $r > r_{ga}$ ($r < r_{ga}$). In that work we have suggested that ordinary matter, dark matter and dark energy can be considered as matter subject to a generalized gravitational field which is attractive on scales $r < r_{ga}$ and repulsive on scales $r > r_{ga}$.

In this work we shall study the effective 4D orbits of some planets (or pseudo-planets in the case of Pluto) of our solar system. In particular we are interested in the calculation of

the perihelion advance of Mercury, the Earth and Pluto. The paper is organized as follows: in Sect. II we review the formalism to calculate the orbits of massive test particles from the ETGR.

II. EXTENDED THEORY OF GENERAL RELATIVITY (ETGR)

In a previous work (Madriz Aguilar & Bellini 2009) was considered a 5D extension of General Relativity such that the effective 4D gravitational dynamics has a vacuum dominated, $\omega = -1$, equation of state. In this section we shall examine some formal aspects of this theory.

A. 5D massive test particles dynamics

We consider the Extended Schwarzschild-de Sitter (ESdS) 5D Ricci-flat metric g_{ab} (Madriz Aguilar & Bellini 2009)

$$dS^2 = \left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_0}\right)^2 \left[c^2 f(r) dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2) \right] - d\psi^2, \quad (1)$$

where $f(r) = 1 - (2G\zeta\psi_0/(rc^2))[1 + c^2 r^3/(2G\zeta\psi_0^3)]$ is a dimensionless function. Here, ψ is the noncompact extra dimension. The space-like coordinates ψ and r have length units, θ and ϕ are angular coordinates and t is a time-like coordinate. We denote with c the speed of light. We shall consider that ψ_0 is an arbitrary constant with length units and the constant parameter ζ has units of $(mass)(length)^{-1}$.

For a massive free test particle outside of a spherically symmetric compact object, the 5D Lagrangian is

$${}^{(5)}L = \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}U^aU^b = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_0}\right)^2 \left[c^2 f(r) (U^t)^2 - \frac{(U^r)^2}{f(r)} - r^2 (U^\theta)^2 - r^2 \sin^2\theta (U^\phi)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} (U^\psi)^2. \quad (2)$$

We shall take $\theta = \pi/2$. Since t and ϕ are cyclic coordinates, hence their associated constants of motion p_t and p_ϕ , are constants of motion. Using the five-velocity condition $g_{ab}U^aU^b = 1$, we arrive to the equation of energy for a test particle which moves on spacetime (1)

$$\frac{1}{2} (U^r)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\psi_0}{\psi}\right)^2 (U^\psi)^2 + V_{eff}(r) = E. \quad (3)$$

If we identify the energy E as

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\psi_0}{\psi} \right)^4 (p_t^2 c^{-2} + p_\phi^2 \psi_0^{-2}) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\psi_0}{\psi} \right)^2, \quad (4)$$

hence the effective 5D potential $V_{eff}(r)$ results to be

$$\begin{aligned} V_{eff}(r) = & - \left(\frac{\psi_0}{\psi} \right)^2 \frac{G\zeta\psi_0}{r} + \left(\frac{\psi_0}{\psi} \right)^4 \left[\frac{p_\phi^2}{2r^2} - \frac{G\zeta\psi_0 p_\phi^2}{c^2 r^3} \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\psi_0}{\psi} \right)^2 \left[(U^\psi)^2 \left(\frac{2G\zeta\psi_0}{c^2 r} - \frac{r^2}{\psi_0^2} \right) - \left(\frac{r}{\psi_0} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

However, we are interested in the study of this potential for massive test particles on static foliations $\psi = \psi_0 = c/H_0$, such that the dynamics evolves on an effective 4D manifold Σ_0 . From the point of view of an relativistic observer, this implies that $U^\psi = 0$.

B. Geodesics equations for 5D canonical metrics

We consider a 5D line element $dS^2 = g_{ab}(x^c)dx^a dx^b$. We are interested to study the geodesics equations on a 5D canonical metric

$$dS^2 = \left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_0} \right)^2 ds^2 - d\psi^2, \quad (6)$$

where $ds^2 = h_{\alpha\beta}(x^\mu)dx^\alpha dx^\beta$, such that in absence of external forces the 5D geodesic equation are

$$\frac{d^2 x^a}{dS^2} + \Gamma_{bc}^a \frac{dx^b}{dS} \frac{dx^c}{dS} = 0. \quad (7)$$

For a test particle in a time-like geodesic we must require

$$g_{ab}U^a U^b = 1, \quad (8)$$

such that the velocity components are $U^c = \frac{dx^c}{dS}$. In order to study the effective 4D geodesic equations on a hypersurface obtained after make a constant foliation $\psi = \psi_0$, we shall decompose eq. (7) in the geodesic equations

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = - \frac{d^2 s}{dS^2} \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^{-2} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} - 2 \frac{1}{\psi_0} \delta_\nu^\mu \frac{dx^\nu}{ds} \frac{d\psi}{ds}, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \psi}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^4 \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = - \frac{d^2 s}{dS^2} \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^{-2} \frac{d\psi}{ds}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\frac{ds}{dS} = \left[\left(\frac{\psi}{\psi_0} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{d\psi}{ds} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (11)$$

Deriving the last expression with respect to S , we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 s}{dS^2} \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^{-2} = - \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^2 \frac{d\psi}{ds} \left[\frac{\psi}{\psi_0^2} - \frac{d^2 \psi}{ds^2} \right]. \quad (12)$$

Using (8) and (12) in (9) and (10), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{d\psi}{ds} \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^2 \left[\frac{\psi}{\psi_0^2} - \frac{d^2 \psi}{ds^2} \right], \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \psi}{ds^2} + \frac{\psi}{\psi_0^2} = \left(\frac{ds}{dS} \right)^2 \frac{d\psi}{ds} \left[\frac{\psi}{\psi_0^2} - \frac{d^2 \psi}{ds^2} \right]. \quad (14)$$

Using (11) and (12) in eq. (13) we obtain that the right hand of (13) becomes null, so that the system (13)-(14) finally become

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \psi}{ds^2} + \frac{\psi}{\psi_0^2} = \frac{2}{\psi} \left(\frac{d\psi}{ds} \right)^2. \quad (16)$$

The solution of this set of equations is

$$\psi(s) = - \frac{2e^{-s/\psi_0}}{\psi_0 [C_1 e^{-2s/\psi_0} + C_2]}. \quad (17)$$

We are interested to study the induced dynamics of observers who moves on the hypersurface Σ_0 , resulting of make a constant foliation $\psi(s) = \psi_0$. In the next section we shall consider this case which will be relevant to the study of planetary dynamics on an effective 4D Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime.

III. PHYSICS ON THE 4D MANIFOLD Σ_0 IN THE SOLAR SYSTEM

Now we consider the static foliation $\{\Sigma_0 : \psi = \psi_0\}$ on (1). In this case we obtain the effective 4D line element

$$dS_{ind}^2 = c^2 f(r) dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} - r^2 [d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2], \quad (18)$$

which is known as the Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric. From the relativistic point of view, observers that are on Σ_0 move with $U^\psi = 0$. We assume that the induced matter on

Σ_0 can be globally described by a 4D energy momentum tensor of a perfect fluid $T_{\alpha\beta} = (\rho c^2 + P)U_\alpha U_\beta - P g_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\rho(t, r)$ and $P(t, r)$ are respectively the energy density and pressure of the induced matter, such that

$$P = -\rho c^2 = -\frac{3c^4}{8\pi G} \frac{1}{\psi_0^2}, \quad (19)$$

which corresponds to a vacuum equation of state. We associate the energy density of induced matter ρ . Since we are interested to study the orbits of some planets of our solar system, we shall consider that the main gravitational source is the Solar mass $M_\odot \equiv \zeta \psi_0$ and radius r_0 . We shall assume that we live on the 4D hypersurface $\Sigma_{H_0} : \psi_0 = cH_0^{-1}$, H_0 and $G\zeta \leq 1/(2\sqrt{27}) \simeq 0.096225$, being $H_0 = 73 \frac{km}{sec} Mpc^{-1}$ the present day Hubble constant.

When one takes $U^\psi = 0$, the induced potential $V_{ind}(r)$ on the hypersurface Σ_0 is given by

$$V_{ind}(r) = -\frac{GM_\odot}{r} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{2r^2} - \frac{GM_\odot}{c^2} \frac{p_\phi^2}{r^3} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r}{\psi_0} \right)^2. \quad (20)$$

The first two terms in the right hand side of (20) correspond to the classical potential, the third term is the usual relativistic contribution and the last term is a new contribution coming from the 5D metric solution (1). The acceleration associated to the induced potential (20) reads

$$a = -\frac{GM_\odot}{r^2} + \frac{p_\phi^2}{r^3} - \frac{3GM_\odot}{c^2} \frac{p_\phi^2}{r^4} + \frac{r}{\psi_0^2}. \quad (21)$$

By expressing the equation (3) as a function of the angular coordinate ϕ (indeed assuming $1/u = r = r(\phi)$), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi} \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM_\odot}{c^2} u \right) (p_\phi^{-2} + u^2) - p_\phi^{-2} (u\psi_0)^{-2} = c^{-2} p_t^2 p_\phi^{-2} + \psi_0^{-2}. \quad (22)$$

This equation of the orbit is almost the same that the one usually obtained in the 4D general theory of relativity for a Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric. However, notice that here the cosmological constant is well determined by the constant $\psi_0^{-2} = H_0^2/c^2$, and not any constant of arbitrary signature (as in 4D General Relativity). In other words, in our formalism the cosmological constant is determined geometrically by the foliation.

A. Effective geodesics equations on the 4D hypersurface

If we require that $S(s) = s$, we must replace the solution (17) in eq. (11). Hence, after take a constant foliation $\psi = \psi_0$, the solution for $S(s)$ is

$$S(s) = s = -\frac{\psi_0}{2} \ln \left(-\frac{C_2}{C_1} \right). \quad (23)$$

In this case both equations (15) and (16) evaluated on the foliation $\psi = \psi_0$ are free of sources

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \psi}{ds^2} + \frac{1}{\psi_0} = 0, \quad (25)$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu|_{\psi=\psi_0}$. Finally, we must additionally require that $C_2 = -1/(\psi_0^4 C_1)$ in order to obtain $\psi(s) = \psi_0$ in eq. (17). Notice that there are no extra force components in the solutions (24) and (25).

IV. CALCULATION OF THE PERIHELION ADVANCE

In order to study the advances of perihelion for massive test particles in the solar system we consider the equation (22). After making $u(\phi) = 4/r_s v(\phi)$ and $M = r_s/256 p_\phi^2 \psi_0^2$, we obtain

$$v^2 \left(\frac{dv}{d\phi} \right)^2 = 4v^5 - v^4 + \frac{v^3 r_s^2}{4p_\phi^2} - v^2 \left[\frac{r_s^2}{16p_\phi^2} - \frac{r_s^2}{16} [p_t^2 p_\phi^{-2} + \psi_0^{-2}] \right] + M,$$

where we shall call the polynomial $P_5(v)$ as

$$P_5(v) = 4v^5 - v^4 + \frac{v^3 r_s^2}{4p_\phi^2} - v^2 \left[\frac{r_s^2}{16p_\phi^2} - \frac{r_s^2}{16} [p_t^2 p_\phi^{-2} + \psi_0^{-2}] \right] + M.$$

The half-period of the orbit will be

$$\phi + \phi_0 = \int_{e_1}^{e_2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{P_5(v)}} dv, \quad (26)$$

where e_1 and e_2 are the real and positive roots of $P_5(v)$.

The advance of the perihelion for the orbits will be given by two times the difference between π and the angle described by the orbit in (26)

$$\Delta_\phi^{MI} = 2\pi - 2 \int_{e_1}^{e_2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{P_5(v)}} dv. \quad (27)$$

It must be noticed that $M \ll 1$. In order to calculate the integral in (27), we shall make the following expansion of $v/\sqrt{P_5(v)}$

$$\frac{v}{\sqrt{P_5(v)}}|_{M \ll 1} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_3(v)}} - \frac{M}{2\sqrt{[P_3(v)]^3}} + \frac{3M^3}{8\sqrt{[P_3(v)]^5}} + \dots, \quad (28)$$

with $P_5(v) = v^2 P_3(v) + M$, and

$$P_3(v) = 4v^3 - v^2 + \frac{r_s^2 v}{4p_\phi^2} - \frac{r_s^2}{16p_\phi^2} - \frac{r_s^2}{16}[p_t^2 p_\phi^{-2} + \psi_0^{-2}]. \quad (29)$$

Notice that all the terms in the serie are integrable. Finally, if we make the substitution $v(\phi) = w(\phi) + 1/12$, we obtain the result

$$\Delta_\phi^{MI} = \phi_1 + \phi_2 = \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{\sqrt{4w^3 - g_2 w - g_3}} - \frac{M}{2} \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(w + \frac{1}{2})^2 \sqrt{4w^3 - g_2 w - g_3}} + \dots, \quad (30)$$

with

$$\phi_1 = \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{\sqrt{4w^3 - g_2 w - g_3}}, \quad (31)$$

$$\phi_2 = -\frac{M}{2} \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(w + \frac{1}{2})^2 \sqrt{4w^3 - g_2 w - g_3}}. \quad (32)$$

Here, g_2 and g_3 are the invariant of Weierstrass

$$g_2 = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{r_s^2}{4p_\phi^2}, \quad (33)$$

$$g_3 = \frac{1}{216} + \frac{r_s^2}{16} \left[\frac{1}{p_\phi^2} \left(1 - \frac{p_t^2}{c^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\psi_0^2} \right] - \frac{r_s^2}{48 p_\phi^2}, \quad (34)$$

and $e_1 = \epsilon_1 + 1/12$, such that $P_3(w = \epsilon_1) = 0$. The constants p_t and p_ϕ are the two free parameters of the theory and they are respectively related to the energy by mass unit, $E = c p_t$, and the angular momentum by mass unit, $L_M = c p_\phi$, such that the invariant of Weierstrass hold

$$g_2 = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{r_s^2 c^2}{4L_M^2}, \quad (35)$$

$$g_3 = -\frac{r_s^2 c^2}{48L_M^2} + \frac{1}{216} + \frac{r_s^2 c^2}{16L_M^2} - \frac{r_s^2}{16}[E^2 L_M^{-2} + \psi_0^{-2}]. \quad (36)$$

Furthermore, since $0 < r < \infty$, the range of validity of $w(\phi)$ is: $-1/12 < w < \infty$.

A. Limit case with $\psi_0 \rightarrow \infty$

Since $\psi_0 = c/H$, the case with zero cosmological constant corresponds to the limit case $\psi_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that H is the Hubble parameter which is experimentally determined so that the foliation $\psi = \psi_0$ is given physical parameters. If we take this limit in (35) and (36) we obtain exactly the same solution that (30), but the invariant of Weierstrass $\hat{g}_2$ and $\hat{g}_3$

$$\hat{g}_2 = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{r_s^2 c^2}{4L_M^2}, \quad (37)$$

$$\hat{g}_3 = -\frac{r_s^2 c^2}{48L_M^2} + \frac{1}{216} + \frac{r_s^2 c^2}{16L_M^2} - \frac{r_s^2}{16}[E^2 L_M^{-2}]. \quad (38)$$

This expressions are in agreement with the results obtained when we use the standard 4D formalism for General Relativity.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

With the aim to illustrate the formalism we shall calculate the advance for the perihelion of Mercury, the Earth and Pluto. We shall use for our calculations the respective values for the Schwarzschild radius (r_s), the speed of light (c) and the Hubble radius (c/H): $r_s = 2.95325008 \times 10^5$ cm, $c = 2.9979245800 \times 10^{10}$ cm/seg and $c/H = 1.2701000000 \times 10^{28}$ cm. In all the cases we shall consider that the angular momentum by mass unit is given by $L_M = v_p r_p$, such that v_p and r_p are respectively the velocity and distance of the planet at the perihelion.

A. Mercury

Mercury is the smallest and closest to the Sun of the eight planets in the Solar System, with an orbital period of about 87.9695 Earth days. Its angular momentum by mass unit is $L_M = 2.71308044481 \times 10^{19}$ cm²/seg and the energy by mass unit being given by $E = 2.99792454178 \times 10^{10}$ cm/seg. The only finite real root on the physical domain is $\epsilon_1 = 0.166666640044$. Using the eq. (26), we can calculate the half-period: $\phi = 3.14159290450$. It is very important to notice that the result of the second integral in (32) is negligible: $\phi_2 = -9.71527962041 \times 10^{-52}$, so that the advance of the perihelion results given totally by the first integral (31): $\Delta_\phi^{MI} = 42.9773350296$ arcseg/century. This value is in very good agreement with observations: $\Delta_\phi^{MI}|_{exp} = 42.98 \pm 0.04$ and with predictions of General

Relativity (Kraniotis & Whitehouse 2003).

B. Earth

The Earth is the third planet from the Sun. It is the densest and fifth-largest of the eight planets in the Solar System. Its angular moment per mass units is $L_M = 4.52332500000 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^2/\text{seg}$ and its energy per mass units is $E = 2.99792457200 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}/\text{seg}$. The only finite real root on the physical domain is $\epsilon_1 = 0.166666657089$. Therefore, for a orbital period of 365 days, the half-period can be calculated from eq. (26): $\phi = 3.14159274386$. The advance of the perihelion of the Earth can be calculated from the first integral (31): $\Delta_\phi^{MI} = 3.72390481198 \text{ arcseg/century}$. This value agree with the experimentally observed value. Since in the case of the Mercury the second integral (32) is very small: $\phi_2 = -3.49514238656 \times 10^{-52}$.

C. Pluto

It is well known that Pluto it is not a true planet. It is the second most massive known dwarf planet, after Eris. In this case the angular moment by mass unit is $L_M = 2.7025100000 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^2/\text{seg}$ and the energy by mass unit that we use is $E = 2.9979245418 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}/\text{seg}$, so that the root in the physical domain take the value $\epsilon_1 = 0.16666666639$. Pluto has a orbital period of 247.08 terrestrial years so that the half-period is $\phi = 3.1415926561$. This value being given by the first integral (31), because the second one (32) is two order of magnitude smallest than the other two cases: $\phi_2 = -9.791421275 \times 10^{-54}$. With these values we can calculate the advance of the perihelion, which takes the value $\Delta_\phi^{MI} = 0.000417 \text{ arcseg/century}$.

VI. FINAL COMMENTS

The Induced Matter theory (Wesson 1992; Overduin & Wesson 1997; Liko 2004; Ponce de Leon 2001; Seahra & Wesson 2002), has been much interest in the last years and the exploration of the geodesic equations from a 5D vacuum is an important topic of this theory (Seahra & Wesson 2001). In this paper we have reexamined the study of this topic in order

to applying to the study possible applications of the ETGR to orbits like planetary orbits in our solar system. ETGR has been proposed some years ago (Madriz Aguilar & Bellini 2009) and has been studied in the framework of astrophysical (Anabitarte M., et al. 2012) and cosmological (Madriz Aguilar & Bellini 2010; Madriz Aguilar, Moreno & Bellini 2014) applications. However, the possible applications are not limited to these kinds of orbits. A very important result is the particular solution with $S(s) = s$ founded in Sect. IIIa, for which there are no extra force components due to the foliations on the extra dimension [see eqs. (24) and (25)].

We have studied analytically the advance for the perihelion for Mercury, the Earth and Pluto. The difference with some previous works is that in this one we have used the ETGR, where the cosmological constant is determined by the foliation $\psi = \psi_0 = c/H$, so the once we known the Hubble constant, which is experimentally determined, we have the cosmological constant: $\Lambda = 3/\psi_0^2 = 3H^2$. In our calculations we have not considered the quadrupolar moment of the Sun, which should be important for the orbit of Mercury (Pireaux, Rozelot & Godier 2003).

An interesting fact is that the method can be used to calculate other orbits of comets with large period which become from the Oort cloud. Some of these comets, as for example the Ison comet, pass very close to the Sun and therefore are subject to an intense gravitational field (Matthew, Knight & Walsh 2013).

Acknowledgements

S. Ridao and R. Avalos and M. D. De Cicco acknowledge UNMdP for financial support. M. Bellini acknowledges CONICET (Argentina) and UNMdP for financial support.

Anabitarte M., et al., 2012, Adv. Stud. Theor. Phys. 6, 1171.

Baibosunov M.B., Gurovich V.Ts., Imanaliev U.M., 1990, Sov. Phys. JETP 71, 636.

Bazeia D., et al., 2014, Phys. Lett. B729, 127.

Dyson F.W., et al., 1919, Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London 220A, 291.

Einstein A., 1915, Preuss. Akad. Wiss., (Part 2), 831.

Kraniotis G., Whitehouse S., 2001, Proceedings of Sources and detection of Dark Matter and Dark Energy in the Universe. Los Angeles, Springer Verlag, Lectures notes in Physics 66.

Kraniotis G. V., Whitehouse S. B., 2003, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 20, 4817.

Liko T., 2004, *Space Sci. Rev.* 110, 337.

Madriz Aguilar J. E., Bellini M., 2009, *Phys. Lett. B* 679, 603.

Madriz Aguilar J. E., Bellini M., 2010, *JCAP* 1011, 020.

Madriz Aguilar J. E., Moreno C., Bellini M., 2014, *Phys. Lett. B* 728, 244.

Matthew M. Knight, Kevin J. Walsh, 2013, *Astrophys. J.* 776, L5.

Overduin J. M., Wesson P. S., 1997, *Phys. Rept.* 283, 303.

Pireaux S., Rozelot J.-P., Godier S., 2003, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 284, 1159. Ponce de Leon J., 2001, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 16, 1405.

Seahra S. S., Wesson P. S., 2002, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 19, 1139.

Seahra S. S., Wesson P. S., 2001, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 33, 1731.

Talmadge C., Berthias J. P., Hellings R. W., Standish E. M., 1988, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 61, 1159.

Wesson P. S., 1992, *Phys. Lett. B* 276, 299.